UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/051,313	04/23/1993	YASUHIKO TAKEMURA	0756-864	5353
31780 ERIC ROBINS	7590 03/28/200 ON	EXAMINER		
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH	DANIZ CT		DUONG, TAI V	
	LLS, VA 20165		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/051,313	TAKEMURA, YASUHIKO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TAI DUONG	2871	

	TAI DUONG	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIMENTS. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	w); eer form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,3,50,53-55,58,62,65-67,70,74,75,78,8</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>124,127,146 and 151</u> .	1,85,86,92,95,98-100 (see Continu	<u>ıation Sheet)</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>121-123,125,126,128,142-145,147-150</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>,152 and 159-165</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Dung Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2871	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the 35 USC 112 rejection, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, Applicant's remarks have been considered but are not persuasive because Applicant did not specifically point out which portions of the original disclosure disclose "a driving circuit connected to the gate lines for generating a bipolar pulse or first and second bipolar puses". In addition, the examiner did not agree with Applicant's remarks that the above driving circuit is inherent in the instant specification unless Applicant provides evidences that there is only one driving circuit known in the art being capable for generating bipolar pulses. Also, it is unclear how can a driving circuit be inherent to bipolar pulses.

Regarding the objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a), Applicant's remarks are not persuasive because nowhere in 37 CFR 1.83(a) states that if the drawings are not necessary for the understanding of the subject matter to be patented, the drawings do not must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

As to Applicant's remarks regarding the manner of operating the device and the functional feature discussed on page 4 of the last Office action, the recited feature "wherein a bipolar pulse is applied to the gate line ... to the first pulse" is equivalent to a step of applying a bipolar pulse to the gate line of a driving method or operating method of a LCD, as recognized in the art and as evidenced by the instant original driving method claims 9-20 filed on 04/23/1993.

Item 7: Continuation of Claim(s) allowed: 103, 106, 107, 110-112, 115, 118, 129-141 and 153-158.